

			Spatial precision	Accuracy of measurement	Responsiveness to company mitigation	Feasibility to apply at scale	Cost		Complexity	Component of biodiversity
							Entry cost	Marginal cost		
In situ data	Direct population count Camera traps Human counting									Richness
										Richness Diversity
	eDNA									Richness
	Acoustic monitoring Human counting Passive acoustic sensors									Richness
										Richness
	Direct habitat survey									Richness
Ex situ data	Remote sensing (satellite)									Structure Landscape
	Drones									Richness Structure
	Species threat assessment and range layers									Value
	Spatial biodiversity data layers Extensive monitoring scheme Intensive monitoring scheme Biodiversity layers (MSA, BII, FLII, etc.)									Richness Structure Landscape Diversity
										Landscape Diversity
	Modelled state based on pressure									Richness Diversity

Sources

- A. Zwerts, J.A. et al. (2021) 'Methods for wildlife monitoring in tropical forests: Comparing human observations, camera traps, and passive acoustic sensors', Conservation Science and Practice, 3(12), p. e568. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.568>.
- B. UNEP-WCMC (2022) Recommendations for a standard on corporate biodiversity measurement and valuation. UNEP-WCMC. Available at: https://capitalscoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/330300786-Align-Report_v4-301122.pdf.
- C. Stephenson, P.J. and Carbone, G. (2021) Guidelines for planning and monitoring corporate biodiversity performance. Available at: <https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2021-009-En.pdf>.
- D. Proença, V. et al. (2017) 'Global biodiversity monitoring: From data sources to Essential Biodiversity Variables', Biological Conservation, 213, pp. 256–263. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.014>.
- E. Smart, A.S. et al. (2016) 'Assessing the cost–efficiency of environmental DNA sampling', Methods in Ecology and Evolution. Edited by N. Yoccoz, 7(11), pp. 1291–1298. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12598>.
- F. Davis, A.J. et al. (2020) 'A comparison of cost and quality of three methods for estimating density for wild pig (Sus scrofa)', Scientific Reports, 10(1), p. 2047. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58937-0>.
- G. Rhodes, C.J. et al. (2015) 'The relative value of field survey and remote sensing for biodiversity assessment', Methods in Ecology and Evolution. Edited by R. Freckleton, 6(7), pp. 772–781. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12385>.
- H. Koh, L.P. and Wich, S.A. (2012) 'Dawn of Drone Ecology: Low-Cost Autonomous Aerial Vehicles for Conservation', Tropical Conservation Science, 5(2), pp. 121–132. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291200500202>.
- 1. BioInt evaluated this based on the criteria in previous slide.